[27th July 1953

Copyists and examiners in the Revenue Department.

* 1142 Q.—SRI G. RAMA RAO : Will the Hon. the Minister for Land Revenue be pleased to state—

(*a*) whether the recommendations of the Board of Revenue made in its reference No. C. 8797/49, dated 8th November 1949, with reference to G.O. Ms. No. 2749, dated 25th October 1949, in respect of the revision of scales of remuneration and enhancement in the rates of copying charges to the copyists and examiners in the Revenue Department have been implemented; if not, why; and

(*b*) whether the copyists and the examiners of the Judicial Department were made eligible for the leave and pensionary benefits and if so, when; and why similar benefits were not granted to copyists and examiners in the Revenue Department?

THE HON. SRI M. A. MANICKAVELU NAICKER :—" (*a*) In its reference No. C. 8797 of 1949, dated 8th November 1949, the Board of Revenue communicated to the Collectors G.O. Ms. No. 2749, Revenue, dated 25th October 1949 and also called for amendments to the relevant paragraphs of B.S.O. No. 173 from some of the Collectors. The reference was not intended for the Government and no recommendations were made in it requiring action by Government. Draft amendments to B.S.O. No. 173 were submitted by the Board of Revenue in 1951 with reference to G.O. Ms. No. 2749, Revenue, dated 25th October 1949, and they were approved in G.O. Ms. No. 2740, Revenue, dated 20th October 1951.

" (*b*) In the Civil Judicial Department the copyists and examiners of copies are of two categories—

(i) those borne on the regular establishment and (ii) others. The copyists who are on the regular establishment have been made eligible for leave and pensionary benefits with effect from 1st January 1950. The examiners of copies who are on the regular establishment are full-time Government servants and as such they are automatically eligible for leave and pensionary benefits like any other Government servant. But the other copyists and examiners who are employed from time to time to cope with the temporary increase in work are paid out of contingent funds and are not therefore eligible for leave or pensionary benefits.

" The copyists in the Judicial Magistrates' Courts and the Presidency Magistrates' Courts, Madras, were made eligible for leave and pensionary benefits with effect from 25th October 1952. But the examiners of copies in the Judicial Magistrates' Courts do not come under any service rules. The question of including them in the Madras Judicial Ministerial Service, fixing their emoluments and extending leave and pensionary benefits to them is under the consideration of the Government.

27th July 1953]

" As regards the reasons for not extending the leave and pensionary benefits to the copyists and examiners of copies in the Revenue Department the attention of the Member is invited to the answer given to Starred question No. 304 on 5th December 1952 on the floor of this House."

[*Note.*—An asterisk (*) at the commencement of a speech indicates revision by the Member.]

II.—MOTION UNDER RULE 11 OF THE MADRAS ASSEMBLY RULES (LEAVE OF ABSENCE TO A MEMBER).

SRI S. VENKATRAMA AYYAR :—" Sir, I move—

' That, under Rule 11 of the Madras Assembly Rules, leave of absence be granted by this House to Sri V. Nadimuthu Pillai, till the 30th September 1953 from the date of his absence.' "

The motion was duly seconded.

MR. SPEAKER :—" The question is—

' That, under Rule 11 of the Madras Assembly Rules, leave of absence be granted by this House to Sri V. Nadimuthu Pillai, till the 30th September 1953 from the date of his absence.'

I think there is no objection to grant leave of absence. Mr. V. Nadimuthu Pillai is sick now. (After a pause.) I take it that the motion is unanimously passed."

The motion was carried unanimously.

III.—ADJOURNMENT MOTIONS.

SRI C. V. K. RAO :—" I have given notice of an adjournment motion . . ."

MR. SPEAKER :—" The hon. Member gave notice of an adjournment motion about the supersession of a municipal council. I told him in my Chamber that the District Municipalities Act provided for supersession and that the motion was out of order. Let him not again refer to it in this House.

" The hon. Member Sri Pillalamarri Venkateswarlu also gave notice of an adjournment motion, and I told him that it was out of order."

SRI PILLALAMARRI VENKATESWARLU :—" Of course, you said that it was out of order. I would like to ask for a half-hour debate on the subject."

MR. SPEAKER :—" Let the hon. Member give notice of a short-notice question and I will admit it."

SRI C. V. K. RAO :—" Sir, you have allowed the other Member to have his say. I request you to read my adjournment motion. You have given a very wrong impression about it."

MR. SPEAKER :—" I have already given a ruling in my Chamber."